



17 BB

RECEIVED

OCT 14 2003

TC 1700

#19  
A.L.H.  
10-23-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : K. Theodor Krantz et al. ; Art Unit : 1771  
Serial No. : 09/808,395 Examiner : J. Brittain  
Filed : March 14, 2001  
Title : HOOK AND LOOP FASTENING

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER BRITTAIN ON AUGUST 19, 2003

On August 19, 2003, Applicants' undersigned representative conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Brittain regarding an Office Action mailed on June 17, 2003, in connection with the above-referenced application.

Applicants' undersigned representative and Examiner Brittain discussed a restriction mailed September 17, 2002. Applicants' undersigned representative indicated while a subcombination loop material would be elected from the groups set out in the restriction, the elected method does not utilize any of the hook material groups set out in the restriction. The Examiner agreed and indicated that a proper response to the restriction would include the hook material shown as bands in figure 28 along with an appropriate loop material and a response including this group would not be held non-responsive.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

October 6, 2003  
Date of Deposit

Karen Lacerda  
Signature


Karen Lacerda  
Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Applicant : K. Theodor Krantz et al.  
Serial No. : 09/808,395  
Filed : March 14, 2001  
Page : 2 of 2

Attorney's Docket No.: 05918-117001 / 3960


Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 6, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James W. Babineau  
Reg. No. 42,276

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, MA 02110-2804  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20723850.doc



## Interview Summary

Application N .

09/808,395

Applicant(s)

KRANTZ ET AL.

Examiner

James R. Brittain

Art Unit

3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James R. Brittain.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James W. Babineau.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 August 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 84.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

RECEIVED  
OCT 14 2003  
TC 1700